

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<u>l</u>

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,787	10/31/2001	Tien-1 Bao	TS00-863	8702
28112	7590 03/14/2003			
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
28 DAVIS AVENUE			DEDVINO DANGLA D	
POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			PERKINS, PAMELA E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summer	09/998,787	BAO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
<u> </u>		Pamela E Perkins	2822	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the coversh t with the	correspond nc address	
- External control con	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) drill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.	
Status				
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 C	October 2001 .		
2a)□		s action is non-final.		
3) Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> ion of Claims	nce except for formal matters, _l Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	prosecution as to the ments is 453 O.G. 213.	
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) <u>□</u> Applicati	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.		
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2001</u> is/are: a		by the Examiner	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)[] 1	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	y to this Office action.	= ,	
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	orionity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, (-, -, (,)	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure	y documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	ed in this National Stage	
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of			
۵۸ ا∟ار∓،	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application)).
15) L A	☐ The translation of the foreign language provices the control of the foreign language provices the control of the translation of the foreign language provices the control of the contro	sional application has been rec priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	eived. and/or 121.	
Attachment(:				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
5. Patent and Trad FO-326 (Rev.		n Summary	Part of Paper No. 3	



Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the filing of the application papers on 31 October 2001. Claims 1-29 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 8, 10, 12-16 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ngo et al. (6,472,755) in view of Givens et al. (6,080,655).

Ngo et al. disclose a method of copper metallization in the fabrication of an integrated circuit device where an opening (21) is formed in a dielectric layer (20) overlying a substrate; forming a copper layer (23) within the opening (21); coating the copper layer (23) with an oxide layer (24) and depositing a silicon nitride or silicon carbide capping layer (50) on the oxide layer (24). Ngo et al. further disclose heating the substrate in a deposition chamber using a NH₃ plasma. Ngo et al. also forming the copper layer (23) using a physical vapor deposition (PVD) process, a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process, electroplating or electroless plating (Fig. 2 & 4; col. 6, lines 24-60). Ngo et al. do not disclose the substrate having semiconductor structures such as gate electrodes, source and drain regions, lower level metallization; the opening connecting the a semiconductor structure and forming a copper layer within and over

Art Unit: 2822

the opening and then using a CMP process to polish back the copper layer to only within the opening.

Givens et al. disclose a method of copper metallization where an opening (42) is formed in a dielectric layer (50) overlying a substrate (20); forming a copper layer (60) within and over the opening (42); using a CMP process to polish back the copper layer (60) to only within the opening (42) and then depositing a capping layer (252) on the copper layer (60). Given et al. further disclose forming the copper layer (44) using a PVD process, a CVD process, electroplating or electroless plating (Fig. 1D; col. 5, lines 1-58). Givens et al. also disclose the substrate having semiconductor structures such as gate electrodes (24), source and drain regions (22), lower level metallization and the opening (42) connecting the a semiconductor structure (Fig. 1A; col. 4, lines 3-64).

Since Ngo et al. and Givens et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of copper metallization, the purpose disclosed by Givens et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Ngo et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ngo et al. by the substrate semiconductor structure such as gate electrodes, source and drain regions, lower level metallization; the opening connecting the a semiconductor structure and forming a copper layer within and over the opening and then using a CMP process to polish back the copper layer to only within the opening as taught by Givens et al. to fill the opening without creating any voids (col. 5, lines 48-55).

Art Unit: 2822

Claims 6, 9, 11, 17, 19, 21, 22-27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ngo et al. in view of Givens et al. as applied to claims 1-5, 8, 10, 12-16 and 20 above, and further in view of Law et al. (5,589,233).

Ngo et al. disclose a method of copper metallization in the fabrication of an integrated circuit device where an opening (21) is formed in a dielectric layer (20) overlying a substrate; forming a copper layer (23) within the opening (21); coating the copper layer (23) with an oxide layer (24) and depositing a silicon nitride or silicon carbide capping layer (50) on the oxide layer (24). Ngo et al. further disclose heating the substrate in a deposition chamber using a NH₃ plasma. Ngo et al. also forming the copper layer (23) using a physical vapor deposition (PVD) process, a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process, electroplating or electroless plating (Fig. 2 & 4; col. 6, lines 24-60). Ngo et al. do not disclose forming the oxide layer and capping layer in a plasma-enhanced CVD chamber at between 200 °C and 600 °C in less than 24 hours.

Law et al. disclose a method of copper metallization where a substrate (38) is placed in a deposition chamber (12) that has an oxide layer formed on the walls of the chamber (col. 3, lines 41-46). Law et al. further disclose forming a layer on the substrate (38) in a plasma-enhanced CVD chamber at between 200 °C and 600 °C in less than 24 hours (col. 4, lines 21-63).

Since Ngo et al and Law et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of copper metallization, the purpose disclosed by Law et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Ngo et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ngo et al. by

Art Unit: 2822

forming the oxide layer and capping layer in a plasma-enhanced CVD chamber at between 200 °C and 600 °C in less than 24 hours as taught by Law et al. reduce contamination of the substrate (col. 2, lines 58-63).

Referring to claims 7, 10, 18, 20 and 28, Ngo et al. do not disclose the oxide layer having a thickness between 10 and 10,000 Angstroms and the capping layer having a thickness between 1000 and 2000 Angstroms. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to the oxide layer having a thickness between 10 and 10,000 Angstroms and the capping layer having a thickness between 1000 and 2000 Angstroms disclosed in the claimed invention, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Marieb et al. (2002/0076925) disclose a method of forming an oxide layer on a copper layer and then forming a capping layer on the oxide layer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela E Perkins whose telephone number is (703) 605-4299. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

Art Unit: 2822

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (703) 308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

pep March 10, 2003

> AMIR ZARABIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800